

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,433	02/20/2001	Shogo Hyakutake	200520US-28X	2905
22850	7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			AKHAVANNIK, HUSSEIN	
	SIREEI RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2621	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/785,433	HYAKUTAKE ET AL.					
Advisory Modern	Examiner HA.	Art Unit					
	Flusselli Akhavannik • •	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable at the sapplication of this application and the same of this application and the same of t	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	ı in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	fee under set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) \(\subseteq \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	endment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been con 	sidered but does NOT pl	ace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	ewly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-78</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on 20 February 2004	is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disa	approved by the Examine	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

Application No.

Applicant(s)

8 . 3

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Selecting an application service provider amongst a plurality of application service providers raises new matter which has not been claimed in previous independent or dependent claims. However, Zhao et al do explain the possibility of multiple copy servers and copy clients in column 3, lines 24-27. The digital representations of Zhao et al correspond to the claimed documents.

LEO BOUDREAU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600